

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/664,385	. 09/18/2000	THOMAS NILSSON	P239US00/AH/IO	4741	
:	7590 12/23/2003		EXAMINER		
YOUNG & THOMPSON			PATEL, MITAL B		
745 SOUTH SECOND FL	23RD STREET OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22202		3743		
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003	, <b>/</b>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/664,38	5	NILSSON ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mital B. Pa	tel	3743				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be the period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will, but the set or extended period for reply will but the set or extended period for reply will.	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no eve stion.  ys, a reply within the statu y period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>30 September 2</u>	<u>003</u> .					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is no	n-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.					
	ion Papers							
<ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).         Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			·				
<ul> <li>12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>								
Attachmer	nt(s)							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/664,385 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

1

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1-7 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the process as claimed cannot be used to make another and materially different product and the electro-dose cannot be made by another and materially different process. This is not found persuasive because the method of making the electro-dose does not have to result in the electro-dose claimed since depending on the chemical or biological substance the electro-dose will have different properties with respect to FPF, particle size, and/or porosity.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Application/Control Number: 09/664,385 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tarara et al (US 6,565,885).
- 5. As to claim 1, Tarara teaches an electro-dose constituting a medical powder intended for use in a dry powder inhaler, the electro-dose being prepared from an electro-powder constituting an active powder substance or a dry powder medical formulation, which is metered onto a device member forming a dose carrier, giving a fine particle fraction (FPF) presenting of the order 50% or more (See Col. 27, lines 55-64) of its content with a particle size between 0.5-5 µm (See Col. 23, lines 1-22, Col. 28, lines 55-57), the dose further presenting an optimized porosity of 75 to 99.9 % (See Col. 28, lines 35-46).
- 6. **As to claims 3, 4, 6, and 7**, the recitations are directed to different processes which result in the electro-dose having a porosity between 75 and 99.9%. It should be noted that in an apparatus claim, patentable weight is given to the end product not the process and as such Tarara does teach an electro-dose having a porosity between 75 and 99.9%. Please also note: "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/664,385

Art Unit: 3743

and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (citations omitted).

7. **As to claim 5**, Tarara teaches an electro-dose wherein the metered electro-dose has a height less than 800 µm.

### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 6638495, US 6063194, US 6007630, and US 5714007.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mital B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-306-5444. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 - 4:30).

Application/Control Number: 09/664,385

Art Unit: 3743

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 703-308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Aaron J. Lewis Primary Examiner

mbp